

# Planning Team Report

Proposal Title ;	Cessnock Local E	nvironmenta	Plan 2011 Amendment	- Vintage Balance Lands & Beggars		
·	Bridge	Ŧ.				
Proposal Summa	Lots to SP3 Touris	The Planning Proposal aims to rezone the subject land from RU4 Primary Production Sπall Lots to SP3 Tourist zone under the Cessnock Local Environmental Plan 2011. The site is part of a larger tourism/ housing development known as 'The Vintage".				
	approximately 210 and 9 hole extensi cellar door, viticul Small Lots zone. I requirement.	) residential d ion to the exi ture and rest Dwelling hou	Iwellings for permanent of sting 18 hole golf course aurants are already perm ses are permitted but the	village resort" (villas/ apartments), occupancy, 40 rural residential lots, . The other proposed uses such as issible in the RU4 Primary Production are is a 40ha minimum lot size Ibin vineyard and tourism precincts as		
		re, with the p	otential to provide an ad	ditional 1,600 jobs. It does not identify		
PP Number ;	PP_2013_CESSN_	002_00	Dop File No :	13/05663		
posal Details						
Data Dianata	99 BE 9049			Casenach		
Date Planning Proposal Receive	<b>22-Mar-2013</b> d :		LGA covered :	Cessnock		
Region :	Hunter		RPA :	Cessnock City Council		
State Electorate :	CESSNOCK		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning					
ocation Details						
Street :	Pt Lot 1102 DP 1101455					
Suburb :	Wine Country Drive	City :	Pokolbin	Postcode : 2320		
Land Parcel :						
Street :	Pt Lot 1301 & Pt Lot 130	5 DP 1077114	L .			
Suburb :	Wine Country Drive	City :	Pokolbin	Postcode : 2320		
Land Parcel :						
Land Farbor.	Lot 21 & Lot 23 DP 10444	459				
Street :				Postcode: 2320		
Street :	Wine Country Drive	City :	Pokolbin			
Street : Suburb :		City :	Pokolbin			
Street :		City :	Pokolbin			

Street : Lo	t 2411 DP1060722				
	Donalds Road	City :	Pokolbin	Postcode : 2320	
Land Parcel		ony .			
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Michael Leavey				
Contact Number :	0438662941				
Contact Email :	michael.leavey@planniı	ng.nsw.go	v.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils				
Contact Name :	Peter Mann				
Contact Number :	0249934229				
Contact Email :	Peter.Mann@cessnock.	nsw.gov.a	u		
OoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Michael Leavey				
Contact Number :	0438662941				
Contact Email :	michael.leavey@plannir	ng.nsw.go	v.au		
and Release Data	3				
Growth Centre :	Other		Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Lower Hunter Regiona Strategy	I	Consistent with Strategy :	Νο	
MDP Number :			Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha)	185.00		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential	
No. of Lots :	450		No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	450	
Gross Floor Area :	0		No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Governmen Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	nt Yes		jt.	1 31	
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	No		с с		
If Yes, comment :					
Supporting notes					
Internal Supporting Notes :	approved in 1996, as a	n integrate	age (as partially constructed ed tourism and residential d through various amended c	evelopment to be underf	

ge	
	. 300 tourist "keys" (keys refers to the number of separate lettings the accommodation is capable of),
	. 18-hole a golf course, and
	. A clubhouse, spa and recreation facilities.
	The planning controls at the time permitted the residential development only where it was integrated with the tourist development.
	The existing approval was translated into the standard instrument as an additional permitted use (schedule 1) under the Cessnock LEP 2011.
	The golf course, club house and recreation facilities have been constructed and are currently operating. It is understood that only part of the tourist accommodation and most of the residential development has been built.
	A nearby 'integrated' tourism and residential proposal, known as the Golden Bear, was supported in 2012. A Gateway Determination was issued to proceed with the Golden Bear Development, on the basis that the tourism component was consistent with the LHRS, and additional studies were required to justify the permanent residential component and provide certainty regarding the integration.
External Supporting Notes :	The rezoning proposal is similar to that previously considered by Council, the Department and the Planning Assessment Commission in the period 2005-2009.
	A number of reports have been commissioned by Council and the Department to investigate the appropriateness of permitting permanent residential development within the Vineyards District. These are known as the 2005 Warne Report, 2008 Croft Report and 2009 Charles Hill Report.
	The 2005 Warne Report was commissioned by Council and concluded that permanent residential development in the Vineyards District should not be considered "until an overall settlement hierarchy has been established and the implications for future demand for tourism accommodation in the Vineyards District are more fully understood." Council adopted the Warne Report and its recommendations on 5 July 2006.
	The 2008 Croft Report was commissioned by Council and reviewed the strategic context of permanent residential development, focusing on the Golden Bear and Vintage Balance Lands. The review recommended that "Council not agree to additional residential development for permanent residents at the Golden Bear, the Vintage Balance Land, or in the Vineyards District generally". Council did not adopt this report.
	The Department sought independent advice from Charles Hill Planning, on the implications of permitting additional permanent residential development at the Golden Bear and the Vintage Balance Land.
	The 2009 Charles Hill Report report concluded: . There would be negligible impact on potential loss of agricultural land or value. With proper planning and management, it is not anticipated there will be any significant
	adverse impacts on the rural character of the locality. . Any potential land use conflicts are capable of being managed through the establishment of adequate buffers.
	. Unlikely to set a precedent given the statutory and non statutory framework and any future proposal would need to be considered on its merits.
	. Given the socio-economic status of the residents, the limitation on permanent
	residential accommodation, the expected permanent population within both developments, the need for any services is not anticipated.
	2009 NSW Planning and Assessment Commission (PAC)
	The Hon Kristina Keneally, MP, previous Minister for Planning requested advice from the
	PAC about the Department's recommendation. The PAC advised that approval of
	permanent residential dwellings would be inconsistent with the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy, which maintains a policy that land for urban release should be in close proximity

Cessnock Local E Bridge	Environmental Plan 2011 Amendment - Vintage Balance Lands & Beggars
-	to existing centres, transport, employment and services. The PAC concluded that "the Department's recommendation that the LEPs proceed is contrary to sub-regional strategies and to good planning practice and may prejudice the future viability of the vineyards area as a tourist area." The draft LEPs did not proceed at that time.
Å).	A Planning Proposal was submitted by Council in July 2012, for the development of land adjacent to the existing Vintage site, known as Golden Bear. This comprised 50 room hotel, 250 tourist villas and 300 permanent dwellings. A Gateway Determination, with a number of conditions, was issued in August 2012. The Director General advised Council that the outcomes of the Planning Assessment Commission assessment needed to be addressed and included within the exhibition material.
dequacy Assess	ment
Statement of the	e objectives - s55(2)(a)
Is a statement of t	the objectives provided? Yes
Comment :	The statement of objectives refers to Council's resolution of 20 February 2013 to support the rezoning proposal, based on it being consistent with the Vineyard District Community vision, in that it proposes the expansion of an existing residential/tourism estate.
	The specific rezoning details are provided within the Council report. The rezoning will permit the development of approximately 410 residential dwellings for permanent occupancy, 40 rural residential lots, and 9 hole extension to the existing 18 hole golf course. No additional tourist accommodation is proposed, and there are tourist elements forming part of the proposal.
Explanation of p	provisions provided - s55(2)(b)
Is an explanation of	of provisions provided? Yes
Comment :	The planning proposal is necessary to permit permanent residential accommodation and the extension of the golf course. Council is considering rezoning the land from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to SP3 Tourist zone.
	Tourist and visitor accommodation, cellar door premises, restaurants or cafes and intensive plant agriculture (viticulture) are already permissible land uses in the RU4 Primary Production Small Lots zone. Dwelling houses are permitted but there is a 40ha minimum lot size requirement.
Justification - s	55 (2)(c)
a) Has Council's si	trategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes
b) S.117 directions	s identified by RPA : 1.5 Rural Lands
* May need the Dir	rector General's agreement
Is the Director 0	General's agreement required? Yes
c) Consistent with	Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs h	nave the RPA identified? SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008
e) List any other matters that need t be considered :	to

If No, explain :	Council's preliminary assessment identifies that the following studies need to be
	updated and/or provided as new:
	. Contaminated lands;
	. Aboriginal archeology;
	. Agricultural land suitability and capability assessment; . Social impact assessment;
	. Economic impact assessment;
	. Bushfire risk assessment;
	. Traffic impact assessment;
	. Public utilities - including a sewage treatment and effluent re-use investigation and
	design, and water servicing adequate for residential supply, golf course maintenance and fire fighting;
	. Geo technical assessment.
	These studies will provide additional information to determine whether or not any
	potential inconsistencies with the relevant SEPPs and s117 directions are adequately justified.
lapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided?	Νο
Comment :	A locality map is provided.
	Council will need to exhibit the draft SP3 land use table, zoning, minimum lot size and urban release area maps if a Gateway Determination is issued.
ommunity consul	tation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consu	Itation been proposed? No
Comment :	Council advises that a community consultation strategy will be prepared if a gateway determination is issued.
	If a Gateway Determination is issued the PP should be publicly exhibited for a minimum of 28 days.
dditional Director	General's requirements
Are there any addition	al Director General's requirements? No
f Yes, reasons :	
verall adequacy o	of the proposal
	et the adequacy criteria? Yes
Does the proposal me	The proposal is adequate for consideration by the Gateway. The Proposal outlines the
	intended objectives and explanation of provisions. Insufficient information is available to assess against s117 directions until studies have been completed.
f No, comment :	intended objectives and explanation of provisions. Insufficient information is available to assess against s117 directions until studies have been completed.
f No, comment :	intended objectives and explanation of provisions. Insufficient information is available to assess against s117 directions until studies have been completed.
Does the proposal me If No, comment : posal Assessmen rincipal LEP: Due Date : December	intended objectives and explanation of provisions. Insufficient information is available to assess against s117 directions until studies have been completed.

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :

1. Is the planning proposal the result of any strategic study or report.

No. The planning proposal does not reflect the outcomes of any strategic study or report, however the Council has submitted the proposal on the basis it is consistent with the Vineyard District Community Vision, in that "it proposes the expansion of an existing residential/ tourism estate".

The consistency, or otherwise, of the proposal with the strategic planning framework is outlined further in the assessment. Previous assessment of this proposal and others similar has highlighted the need for a strategic approach to ongoing development within the Vineyards District.

No assessment of supply and demand has been undertaken in support of the permanent residential dwellings.

Council supported the rezoning proposal on the basis of the Vineyard District Community vision prepared by the Hunter Valley Wine Industry Association and Hunter Valley Wine Country Tourism. The vision was adopted by Council on 15 August 2012 and supports "some expansion of existing residential estates that incorporate leisure,tourism and residential facilities as part of lifestyle niches in keeping with character and amenity of the vineyards district provided they are built to a high standard".

2. Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives?

The amendment to the LEP is necessary to permit permanent residential accommodation and the extension of the golf course. Council is considering rezoning the land from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to SP3 Tourist zone. This zone is currently being considered for the Golden Bear intergrated tourist development to facilitate the integration of the two uses. It is unclear whether the intention is to zone the larger site (including the existing tourism development) or just the additional residential portion. This should be raised with Council as part of any Gaterway Determination.

3. Is there a net community benefit?

It is not possible to determine whether or not there is a net community benefit based on the information provided. A social and economic assessment is required to determine the net community benefit taking into consideration the complexity of the socio-economic interactions in the Vineyards District. It will also be necessary to provide an assessment of the additional infrastructure required for the proposal and the funding mechanisms to provide this infrastructure.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	1. Lower Hunter Regional Strategy (LHRS) The LHRS identifies the Pokolbin vineyard and tourism precincts as a specialised centre, with the potential to provide an additional 1,600 jobs over the life of the Strategy (Table 2, Page 18). The Strategy does not identify this locality as an urban release investigation area, however the current Vintage development predates the Regional Strategy.
	The PAC report 2009 also notes that the approval of permanent residential dwellings would be inconsistent with the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy, which maintains a policy that land for urban release should be in close proximity to existing centres, transport, employment and services.
	The Planning Proposal is inconsistent with Practice Note PN09-006 Providing for Tourism in Standard Instrument Local Environmental Plans. In particular this Practice Note indicates that permanent residential development should not be proposed in association with tourist accommodation in areas outside of those nominated in local or regional strategies. The Practice Note indicates that tourist development with a permanent residential development component should be integrated, or be in proximity to existing urban settlements, and it is noted that the proposal will form part of the larger "Vintage" site.
	In recognition of the proposal's inconsistency with the LHRS, the proponent and Council have undertaken an assessment against the Strategy's sustainability criteria. The LHRS indicates that a proposal outside of the Regional Strategy process can only be considered if it is consistent with the sustainability criteria. A review of the proposal against the criteria has indicated that there are areas of inconsistency, including the site's accessibility. There is insufficient information to assess the proposal in terms of infrastructure provision and the availability of services because of the lack of detailed assessment and costings.
	The Department has recently released the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy Discussion Paper, which is on exhibition until 3 May 2013. It aims to facilitate and manage growth in a sustainable manner by building on Lower Hunter strengths and opportunities. The Department's review of the LHRS will need to concurrently consider the issue of permanent residential dwellings in this locality. The Vintage already has approval for 522 dwellings, the Golden Bear proposes up to 300 dwellings and this PP proposes an additional 410 dwellings (up to 3300 residents) in a location removed from existing centres, transport, employment and services, however forming part of integrated tourist developments.
	2. Upper Hunter Strategic Regional Land Use Plan The subject site is identified as strategic agricultural land and located within the Viticulture Critical Industry Cluster under the Upper Hunter Strategic Land Use Plan (UHSRLUP). This land is identified for protection from non-agricultural activities due to its significance and councils are to protect this land through their Local Environmental Plans (Action 3.4). Therefore the proposal may be considered inconsistent with the strategic direction. The Planning Proposal does not address the UHSRLUP.
	3. Cessnock City-Wide Settlement Strategy (CWSS) The Cessnock City-Wide Settlement Strategy was initially prepared in 2003 and has been updated most recently in 2010 to support the new comprehensive LEP. The CWSS aims to redirect dwelling demand into a more sustainable settlement pattern. It also states that "the sites identified for potential urban release areas in the LHRS are currently more than sufficient to accommodate Council's needs for population growth within the next 25 years" and "will provide a range of housing choice and locality and public transport options." (p.55)
	The Cessnock City-Wide Settlement Strategy 2010 highlights the tourism significance of the Vineyards District and specifically discusses the issue of permanent residential development. The Strategy (Section 11.3.3) identifies that such development is 'inconsistent with local and state policy and has the potential to detract from the character

а:	of this area that is primarily dedicated to wine making'.	
	4. Ministers S117 Directions	
	The Planning Proposal needs to address its inconsistency with the Minister's S117	
	Directions, in particular Direction 1.2 Rural Lands, Direction 1.5 Rural Lands and Direction	
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies, and this will be assisted by the further studies	
	Council has identified.	
Environmental social	When the required studies have been completed, it will be possible to determine the	
economic impacts :	potential environmental, social and environmental impacts.	

economic impacts :

#### **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Inconsistent	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	24 Month	Delegation :	Minister
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	NSW Aboriginal Land Council Office of Environment and Her NSW Department of Primary In Mine Subsidence Board Transport for NSW NSW Police Force NSW Rural Fire Service Transport for NSW	-	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? No		
If no, provide reasons :	The LHRS review should deter urban release area after taking transport, employment and ser	into consideration its proxim	
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional stu	udies, if required. :		
Flora Fauna Heritage Bushfire Economic Social If Other, provide reasons	: 		
Council also requests a assessment.	contamination assessment, tra	ffic assessment, public utilitie	es and geo-technical
Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required :	(4.)	
No internal consultation	required	5	
Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastructure relevant	to this plan? Yes	
If Yes, reasons :	The Infrastructure Planning & Vintage Balance Lands shoul accumulative impacts. If both it will provide an additional 75	d be mapped as an Urban Rel rezoning proceed (Golden Be	ease Area based on ear & Vintage Balance Lands),

Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public
PP Vintage Balance Lan	d and Beggars Bridge.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Golden Bear Gateway D		Determination Document	No
-	en Bear Chronology.doc	<b>Determination Document</b>	No
	ear & Vintage Balance.pdf	<b>Determination Document</b>	No
nning Team Recomm	nendation		
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage :	Recommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	1.5 Rural Lands		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the Plan conditions:	ning Proposal should proceed, subject t	o the following
		sider whether the proposed SP3 zone sh intage site, to reinforce the integrated na	
	2. Council is to undertake a site	investigation into potential contamination	on of the land in
	accordance with SEPP 55 – Rem	ediation of Land to ensure the land is ca	apable of
		land use. The planning proposal is to be	
	reflect the outcome of the invest	igation prior to the commencement of p	ublic exhibition.
	3. The subject site be identified a	as an Urban Release Area and the Depa	rtment's model
	clause adopted to require the de	velopment to contribute towards the pro	ovision of
	-	provide required public utility infrastruc	ture and that a
	DCP is prepared before any deve	elopment application is determined.	
	4. Council needs to ensure that t	the requirements of the following S117 I	Directions are
	addressed in the public exhibition		
	S117 Direction 1.2 Rural Zones		
	S117 Direction 1.5 Rural Lands		
	S117 Direction 2.3 Heritage Con	nservation	
	S117 Direction 3.4 Integrating L	and Use and Transport	
	S117 Direction 3.1 Residential 2	Zones	
	S117 Direction 4.3 Flood Prone		
	S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for		
	S117 Direction 5.1 Implementat	ion of Regional Strategies	
		I information within the public exhibition	
		to suitable identify all impacts that may	result from the
	proposed development:		
	• flora and fauna		
	<ul> <li>bushfire risk</li> <li>aboriginal archaeology</li> </ul>		
	Iand contamination (SEPP 55)		
	• traffic		
	• infrastructure and services		
	agricultural land use		
	• water management		
	<ul> <li>the social and economic impact</li> </ul>	ts of the proposal	
	Additionally, Council is to provid	le additional information addressing co	nsistency with th

6. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows: (a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 4.5 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning 2009). 7. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act: NSW Aboriginal Land Council Office of Environment and Heritage NSW Department of Primary Industries – Agriculture NSW Department of Primary Industries - Minerals and Petroleum Hunter Water Corporation NSW Rural Fire Service Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to comment on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal. 8. Further to Condition 7 above, Council is to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service and, prior to undertaking community consultation, take into account any comments made and amend the planning proposal (if necessary) as per the requirements of S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection. 9. Council should amend the planning proposal to reflect the requirements of the Gateway determination. Council needs to provide a copy of the revised proposal to the Department's Regional Team prior to the commencement of exhibition. 10. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).

11. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination.

Supporting Reasons :

This Planning Proposal seeks to facilitate residential development within an SP3 zone, as part of an approved larger tourist development, in the Hunter Valley Vineyards. Use of the SP3 zone will reinforce that the development is part of a larger integrated development, and it is recommended that Council consider applying the SP3 zone to the remainder of the site. It is also recommended that the site be mapped as an urban release area in relation to state infrastructure.

The proposal represents an opportunity for expansion of the existing Vintage development, and would provide economic stimulus in this area.

It is noted that a Gateway Determination has recently been issued for the nearby "Golden Bear" proposal, in August 2012. The Golden Bear proposal includes 300 residential dwellings, a hotel, golf course and 250 tourist villas. A determination was issued on the basis that the tourism component was consistent with the LHRS, and additional studies were required post Gateway to justify the permanent residential component.

It is acknowledged that further studies will be required, and these will need to address issues such as s.117 Directiona and matters raised by the PAC, among other issues.

A strategic review of permanent residential dwellings in this locality would be beneficial

Cessnock Local En Bridge	vironmental Plan 2011 Amendment - Vintage Balance Lands & Beggars
	in light of the current approved and proposed developments underway in the locality, and this is a matter to be considered as part of the review of the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy. The Vintage already has approval for 522 dwellings, the Golden Bear proposes up to 300 dwellings and this Planning Proposal proposes an additional 410 dwellings and 40 rural residential allotments. This potentially provides a total of 1272 dwellings (up to 3300 residents).
Signature:	Aleaver
Printed Name:	Michael Leaven Date: 18/4/13